

**Results for: "Robert B. Jobe"****Cases**1.  [Borja v. INS, 175 F.3d 732](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Offices of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for petitioner Teresita Moral ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Denial of petition for asylum on account of political opinion in deportation action was improper because beatings and assaults for the purpose of financial extortion constituted persecution on account of political opinion.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Apr 30, 1999

---

2.  [Li v. Ashcroft, 356 F.3d 1153](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued), Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , and Michael P. Karr ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Girlfriend's petition for review of asylum application was granted and boyfriend's petition was remanded; evidence showed they were either persecuted or had well-founded fear of it based on their resistance to China's population control policies.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jan 29, 2004

---

3.  [Lolong v. Gonzales, 484 F.3d 1173](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Hilari Allred , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for petitioner Marjorie Lolong. ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Federal appellate court overruled *Molina-Camacho v. Ashcroft*, 393 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2004), finding it had jurisdiction to review the BIA's order denying asylum and granting voluntary departure; while an alien, a Christian Indonesian woman of Chinese descent, showed a subjective fear of persecution, she did not show an individual, objective fear.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
May 07, 2007

---

4.  [Eun Kyung Park v. INS, 252 F.3d 1018](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Vicky Dobrin , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Under IIRIRA, federal appeals court lacked jurisdiction to hear petitioner's appeal of BIA's finding that petitioner was deportable due to her conviction for an aggravated felony--involuntary manslaughter under California law.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Mar 06, 2001

---

5.  [Yewhalashet Abebe v. Gonzales, 493 F.3d 1092](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Fatma Marouf , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco, California, (briefed), Zachary Nightingale , Van Der ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Petition for review was denied because comparable grounds test used by BIA was consistent with past administrative and judicial interpretations of former 8 U.S.C.S. § 1182(c) (repealed 1996) and did not represent a substantial unexplained shift in agency practice; therefore, alien was ineligible to apply for discretionary relief from removal.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jul 09, 2007

---

6.  [Catholic Social Servs. v. INS, 232 F.3d 1139](#)

**Robert B. Jobe**, San Francisco, California, for amicus American Immigration Lawyers Association.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Second class action could proceed as statute of limitations was tolled during pendency of first class action challenging federal immigration agency's advance parole policy. Trial court improperly denied injunctive relief to would-be plaintiffs.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Nov 21, 2000

---

7.  [Sidhu v. INS, 220 F.3d 1085](#)

Hilary A. Han , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Alien's explanation for not presenting father's corroborating testimony was not supported by substantial evidence, but due process concerns required a new opportunity for alien to prove eligibility for asylum.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jul 20, 2000

---

8.  [Singh v. Ilchert, 63 F.3d 1501](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Jobe & Belrod, San Francisco , California , for ...

Overview: Petitioner, a citizen of India, was eligible for political asylum in the U.S. where political motive was presumed in the absence of evidence of a legitimate prosecutorial purpose for harassment of petitioner by the Indian government.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 22, 1995

---

9.  [Socop-Gonzalez v. INS, 272 F.3d 1176](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

Overview: BIA's denial of alien's motion to reopen deportation proceedings was reversed where the 90-day filing deadline for motions to reopen was subject to equitable tolling and the facts of his case warranted equitable tolling.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Dec 05, 2001

---

10.  [Sat Jagroop Singh Randhawa v. Ashcroft, 298 F.3d 1148](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued), Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco, California . Appearance only by Hilary A. Han , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner-appellant.

Overview: A conviction for possession of stolen mail was categorically (or facially) a "theft offense," and therefore an aggravated felony warranting deportation.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 13, 2002

---

11.  [Abebe v. Mukasey, 554 F.3d 1203](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Fatma Marouf , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for petitioner.

Overview: Having found a rationale for providing § 212(c) relief from inadmissibility but not deportation, the court overruled its holding in *Tapia-Acuna*. Thus, because petitioner was not eligible for § 212(c) relief in the first place, the BIA did not violate his right to equal protection by finding him ineligible for § 212(c) relief.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jan 05, 2009

12.  [Al Mutarreb v. Holder, 561 F.3d 1023](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Katherine M. Lewis (argued), Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

Overview: Even assuming that an alien received sufficient notice of his removal proceeding under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1229(a)(1)(F), the immigration judge was without authority to order the alien removed in absentia under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1229a(c)(5)(A) because the record contained no evidence relevant to the charge of removability under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1227(a)(1)(C)(i).

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Apr 06, 2009

13.  [Brezilien v. Holder, 565 F.3d 1163](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: Appellate court vacated the BIA's denial of the alien's applications for asylum, withholding of removal, and CAT relief because the BIA improperly found additional facts not in the record, and used these facts to support its decision to overturn the IJ's grant of asylum, withholding of removal, and CAT relief.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
May 12, 2009

14.  [Brezilien v. Holder, 569 F.3d 403](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: Where an alien from Haiti alleged a fear of future persecution on account of his ties to a former Haitian president, but his asylum application was denied, remand was warranted under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1252 because the BIA improperly relied upon its own factual findings to conclude that he had not established a well-founded fear of future persecution.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jun 18, 2009

15.  [Brezilien v. Holder, 569 F.3d 403](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jun 18, 2009

16.  [Nevarez v. Holder, 572 F.3d 605](#)

**Robert B. Jobe**, Esq. ; Arwen Swink, Esq. , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioners. ...

Overview: Where petitioners for cancellation of removal sought to reopen based on newly-learned information about a child's learning disabilities, the question whether the bar stating that a petitioner was limited to one such timely motion, under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1229a(c)(7)(A) and (C), was an issue best addressed first by the Board of Immigration Appeals.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jul 08, 2009

17.  [Prakash v. Holder, 579 F.3d 1033](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued) and Sara E. Coppin , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

Overview: Because Cal. Penal Code §§ 653f(a) and 653f(c) constituted crimes of violence under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1101(a)(43)(F), the court dismissed petitioner alien's petition for review of the order of the BIA, which ordered the alien's removal from the United States pursuant to 8 U.S.C.S. § 1227(a)(2)(A)(iii), for lack of jurisdiction.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 26, 2009

18.  [Singh v. Mukasey, 533 F.3d 1103](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued) and Fatma Marouf , Law Offices of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: A circuit court of appeals held that it lacked jurisdiction to consider an alien's petition for review of his removal order because his petition was filed on August 17, 2005, more than three months after the REAL ID Act of 2005 was enacted. The alien was afforded only 30 days from the date of the REAL ID Act of 2005's enactment to bring his claims.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jul 23, 2008

19.  [Zehatye v. Gonzales, 453 F.3d 1182](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco, California , for petitioner, Zehatye.

Overview: Evidence that citizen of Eritrea, who was a Jehovah's Witness, suffered some degree of social ostracism and economic hardship due to her religion did not rise to level of persecution for purposes of asylum eligibility under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1158; also, government's mandatory conscription policy did not establish well-founded fear of future persecution.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jul 13, 2006

---

20.  [Abebe v. Mukasey, 548 F.3d 787](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Fatma Marouf , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Court overruled Tapia-Acuna's holding that there was no rational basis for providing relief under former § 212(c) (8 U.S.C.S. § 1182(c) (repealed 1996)) of the INA from inadmissibility, but not deportation. The BIA therefore did not violate the alien's right to equal protection by finding him ineligible for § 212(c) relief from deportation.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Nov 20, 2008

---

21.  [Ahmed v. Mukasey, 548 F.3d 768](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Because the performance of an alien's former counsels was deficient in failing to file timely a motion to reopen on the basis of marriage and because petitioner was prejudiced as a result, the BIA erred in denying the alien's second motion to reopen on the basis of ineffective assistance of counsel.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Nov 19, 2008

---

22.  [Al-Mousa v. Mukasey, 518 F.3d 738](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued) and Fatma Marouf , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Court issued a limited remand to the BIA to consider whether, as a matter of law, individuals under 21 years of age were minors under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.4(a)(5)(ii) and if the alien was viewed as a minor whether his status as a minor would also forgive his failure to exhaust his claim for asylum.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Mar 05, 2008

---

23.  [Barahona-Gomez v. Ashcroft, 243 F. Supp. 2d 1029](#)

... 184501), Van Der Hout & Brigagliano , San Francisco, CA, **Robert B. Jobe** (Ca. Bar No. 133089), Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco, CA, Linton Joaquin (Ca. Bar No. 73547), ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: A settlement agreement between immigrants and the United States Attorney General concerning the reservation or suspension of deportation was approved by a district court as fair, adequate, and reasonable.

**Court**  
California Northern District Court

**Date**  
Dec 18, 2002

---

24.  [Cardoso-Tlaseca v. Gonzales, 460 F.3d 1102](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

Overview: BIA erred in determining that 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(d) barred an alien's motion to reopen removal order issued under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II), (a)(6)(A)(i); alien was entitled to reopen deportation proceeding because conviction under Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11358, which was a "key part" of the deportation proceeding, had been vacated.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 21, 2006

---

25.  [Kasnecovic v. Gonzales, 400 F.3d 812](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Nicole Simon, Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

Overview: The alien's petition for review was denied in part and dismissed in part because substantial evidence supported the IJ's adverse credibility determination. However, the appellate court lacked jurisdiction to review the IJ's determination that the asylum application was untimely.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Mar 11, 2005

---

26.  [Montes-Lopez v. Gonzales, 486 F.3d 1163](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Aruna Sury , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: By summarily affirming an IJ's decision under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(e)(4), the BIA ignored--and denied review of--the alien's claim that his right to counsel was violated by the IJ. The BIA committed error in doing so; on appeal, the court remanded so that the BIA could determine whether the right to counsel had been violated.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
May 17, 2007

---

27.  [Ruiz-Vidal v. Gonzales, 473 F.3d 1072](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, argued the cause for the ...

Overview: BIA erred in upholding IJ's order finding alien removable under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1227(a)(2)(B)(i); Government did not establish particular substance alien was convicted of possessing when he pled guilty to violating Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11377(a) and, thus, failed to establish that alien possessed controlled substance under 21 U.S.C.S. § 802.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jan 18, 2007

28.  [Borja v. INS, 150 F.3d 1223](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Offices of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for petitioner  
Teresita Moral Borja. ...

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of  
Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 24, 1998

29.  [Ching v. Mayorkas, 725 F.3d 1149](#)

Sarah B. Castello (argued) and **Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe**, San Francisco, California, for Plaintiffs-Appellants.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Because the denial of the husband's I-130 visa petition violated the Fifth Amendment Due Process rights of an alien and her husband where they were not afforded the opportunity to cross-examine the alien's ex-husband, the district court erred in granting summary judgment to the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of  
Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 07, 2013

30.  [Delgado-Hernandez v. Holder, 697 F.3d 1125](#)

... OF JAIME JASSO , Westlake Village , California , and **Robert B. Jobe** , Anna L. Benvenue LAW OFFICE OF **ROBERT B. JOBE** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: Based on all the available evidence, the government sufficiently met its burden of showing that an ordinary kidnapping under Cal. Penal Code § 207(a) was a crime of violence because it resulted in a substantial risk of force. The BIA did not err in its rejection of the alien's challenge to the final order of removal.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of  
Appeals

**Date**  
Oct 09, 2012

31.  [Gasparyan v. Holder, 707 F.3d 1130](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for Petitioner.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

Overview: An alien's petition was dismissed as to that portion relying on disputed facts to challenge the BIA's extraordinary circumstances determination because the appellate court lacked jurisdiction, and the petition was denied to the extent that the alien argued that the BIA failed to apply 8 C.F.R. § 1208.4(a)(5) in denying her asylum claim.

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of  
Appeals

**Date**  
Feb 20, 2013



32.  [Jannif Ali v. Holder, 637 F.3d 1025](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: Alien who established past persecution in Fiji following military coups was improperly denied asylum, as an IJ and the BIA found that the presumption of a well-founded fear of future persecution had been rebutted without an individualized determination of how improved country conditions affected the alien's specific harms and circumstances.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Mar 18, 2011

33.  [Khadka v. Holder, 618 F.3d 996](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: Substantial evidence supported adverse credibility determination because alien presented a newspaper article under false pretenses; IJ improperly found that he filed a frivolous asylum application under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1158 because, inter alia, he submitted evidence that he was threatened by Maoists for his activities as a Nepalese police officer.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 18, 2010

34.  [Montes-Lopez v. Holder, 694 F.3d 1085](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe**, San Francisco, California, for the petitioner.

Overview: Petition for review of removal was granted. Alien's right to be represented in proceedings by retained counsel, established under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1362, was violated as attorney's license was suspended. Also, a petitioner so denied his right to counsel in immigration proceeding was not required to demonstrate actual prejudice in order to obtain relief.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Sep 18, 2012

35.  [Paulo v. Holder, 669 F.3d 911](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Offices of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

Overview: District court's decision that an immigrant was eligible to apply for relief under former 8 U.S.C.S. § 1182(c) was binding through res judicata on an IJ and the BIA and precluded the IJ from preterminating the immigrant's application based on application of the statutory counterpart rule; intervening BIA decisions did not change the relevant law.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
May 04, 2011

36.  [Singh v. Holder, 658 F.3d 879](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: Alien's petition for review of BIA's denial of motion to reopen was granted. Counsel's repeated mistakes, compounded by inability to recognize import of errors, were epitome of ineffective assistance. Counsel had four opportunities to ensure alien remained eligible to apply for adjustment of status and he failed to take advantage of any of them.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jul 21, 2011

37.  [Vahora v. Holder, 641 F.3d 1038](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** and Arwin Swink , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco, California for the petitioner-appellant.

Overview: Pursuant to 8 U.S.C.S. § 1158(a)(2)(B), alien demonstrated "changed circumstances" justifying the untimely filing of his asylum application under 8 C.F.R. § 208.4(a)(5) because he presented evidence regarding the disappearance of his brothers, the destruction of his home, and unrest following the destruction of a temple in September 2002.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Apr 05, 2011

38.  [Singh v. Ilchert, 69 F.3d 375](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Jobe & Melrod, San Francisco, California , for the ...

Overview: Alien was entitled to asylum or withholding of deportation in action under Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) because he proved he suffered past government persecution and INS failed to show threat of future persecution no longer existed.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Nov 01, 1995

39.  [Singh v. Moschorak, 53 F.3d 1031](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Jobe & Melrod, San Francisco , California, for the ...

Overview: Petitioner who established sufficient past persecution for political opinion was not required to prove a fear of countrywide persecution in order to establish eligibility for asylum.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Apr 28, 1995

40.  [Abebe v. Mukasey, 554 F.3d 1203](#)

... Robert Bradford Jobe , Esquire , Attorney, LAW OFFICES OF **ROBERT B. JOBE**, San Francisco, CA.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jan 05, 2009

41.  [Kaur v. Holder, 561 F.3d 957](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioners. ...

Overview: BIA abused discretion in finding alien to be unworthy of discretionary grant of asylum; BIA violated both 8 C.F.R. § 1240.33(c)(4) and U.S. Const. amend. V due process by using secret evidence without giving alien sufficient notice about parameters of evidence to allow her to defend against accusation that she engaged in alien smuggling and fraud.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Apr 01, 2009

42.  [Khan v. Holder, 584 F.3d 773](#)

Robert Bradford Jobe , LAW OFFICES OF **ROBERT B. JOBE**, San Francisco, California, for the petitioner.

Overview: An IJ properly applied the terrorism bar under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1182(a)(3)(B). The IJ's findings that the Jammu Kashmir Liberation Front (JKLF) was a terrorist organization, that petitioner solicited funds for the JKLF, and that he knew or reasonably should have known that the JKLF was a terrorist organization were supported by substantial evidence.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Sep 09, 2009

43.  [Legal Assistance for Vietnamese Asylum Seekers v. Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs, 104 F.3d 1349](#)

... appellants, with whom William R. Stein, M. Kathleen O'Connor and **Robert B. Jobe** were on the briefs.

Overview: Migrants' statutory and APA claims regarding the state department's consular venue policy were unreviewable because consular venue determinations were entrusted to the discretion of the state department.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
DC Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jan 07, 1997

44.  [Lolong v. Gonzales, 400 F.3d 1215](#)

Hilari Allred , Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner-appellant. ...

Overview: A Chinese woman from Indonesia who applied for asylum met her burden of demonstrating that she faced a particularized risk of future persecution if she was returned to Indonesia. Compelling evidence established that her ethnicity, gender, and religion put her at risk for persecution and that her fear of future persecution was well-founded.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Mar 18, 2005

45.  [Maharaj v. Gonzales, 450 F.3d 961](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued), San Francisco , California, and Ashwani K. Bhakhri (signed ...

Overview: Interpreting 8 C.F.R. § 208.15 (2000), BIA's denial of request for asylum was remanded because, for purposes of showing that aliens were firmly resettled elsewhere, DHS bore the initial burden of showing that the government of a third country had issued a formal offer of official status permitting the aliens to reside in that country indefinitely.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jun 09, 2006

46.  [Singh v. Ilchert, 801 F. Supp. 313](#)

For Plaintiffs: **Robert B. Jobe** , Debbie Smith , JOBE & MELROD, 235 Montgomery Street, ...

Overview: BIA's rejection of petitioner's claim was based on faulty application of relevant law because it denied asylum without a showing that petitioner did not have a well-founded fear of persecution despite the past persecution.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
California Northern District Court

**Date**  
Jul 17, 1992

47.  [Tian v. Holder, 576 F.3d 890](#)

... , Attorney, Sara E. Coppin , Attorney, LAW OFFICE OF **ROBERT B. JOBE** , San Francisco , CA.

Overview: Because a victim's loss exceeded \$ 10,000, an alien's conviction of unauthorized access to a computer qualified as an aggravated felony under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1101(a)(43)(M)(i), and the alien was thus statutorily ineligible for asylum. The correct legal standard was applied in finding that the conviction also qualified as a particularly serious crime.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
8th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 19, 2009

48.  [Aguilera-Medina v. INS, 137 F.3d 1401](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioner.

Overview: Immigration judge erred in finding that petitioner's status as a special agricultural worker precluded application of the Fleuti doctrine that a return following a brief departure was not an "entry" for purposes of deportation statute.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Mar 09, 1998

49.  [Al-Mousa v. Mukasey, 545 F.3d 694](#)

... Robert Bradford Jobe , Esquire , Attorney, LAW OFFICES OF **ROBERT B. JOBE**, San Francisco, CA.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Sep 22, 2008

50.  [Bhasin v. Gonzales, 423 F.3d 977](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Esquire, San Francisco, California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: Where an alien from India alleged that an Islamic militant group kidnapped her, beat her, and kidnapped and possibly killed members of her family for her son's role as a government inspector, the BIA abused its discretion in denying her motion to reopen under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1229a because new evidence addressed key problems identified by the BIA.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Sep 01, 2005

51.  [Cheema v. Ashcroft, 372 F.3d 1147](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioners. ...

Overview: Court held, inter alia, that the denial of withholding and asylum for the wife could not be sustained because of the lack of any evidence that reasonable grounds existed to believe that she was a danger to the security of the United States.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jun 24, 2004

---

52.  [Cheema v. Ashcroft, 383 F.3d 848](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioners. ...

Overview: The denial of an alien's application for asylum and withholding of deportation was remanded. Although there was substantial evidence that he engaged in terrorist activity, there was no such evidence that he was a danger to national security.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jun 24, 2004

---

53.  [Cheema v. INS, 350 F.3d 1035](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioners. ...

Overview: The Board of Immigration Appeals' denial of withholding could not be sustained because of the lack of any evidence that reasonable grounds existed to believe the immigrants were a danger to the security of the United States.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Dec 01, 2003

---

54.  [Dinu v. Ashcroft, 372 F.3d 1041](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: An IJ properly denied an alien's asylum application because he had not borne his burden of showing that the Romanian authorities' criminal investigation had no bona fide objective and thus, the inference of political persecution did not arise.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jun 18, 2004

---

55.  [Kankamalage v. INS, 335 F.3d 858](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner-appellant. ...

Overview: Court granted an alien's petition for review of the dismissal of his appeal from the denial of his application for asylum; a new INS regulation could not be applied retroactively to exclude categorically the alien from consideration for asylum.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jul 08, 2003

---

56.  [Legal Assistance for Vietnamese Asylum Seekers v. Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs, 74 F.3d 1308](#)

William R. Stein , Daniel Wolf, and **Robert B. Jobe**, for appellants.

Overview: Because government's policy change resulting in alleged unlawful refusal to process refugees' visa in Hong Kong instead of Vietnam was certain to recur, fact that refugees were granted preliminary consideration did not moot their cause of action.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
DC Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Feb 02, 1996

---

57.  [Maravilla v. Ashcroft, 381 F.3d 855](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California, for the petitioners.

Overview: BIA abused discretion by denying aliens' motion to reopen cancellation of removal proceedings that alleged ineffective assistance of counsel where BIA did not address whether counsel's conduct was competent or explain why it did not address issue.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 19, 2004

---

58.  [Miguel-Miguel v. Gonzales, 500 F.3d 941](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued) and Fatma Marouf , San Francisco , California, for ...

Overview: The U.S. Attorney General had authority to create a presumptive standard, in an adjudicative decision, that all drug trafficking offenses were "particularly serious" crimes under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1231(b)(3)(B), including a deportable alien's offense of selling a \$20 rock of cocaine; however, BIA erred by applying it retroactively to an alien's case.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 29, 2007

---

59.  [Murillo-Salmeron v. INS, 327 F.3d 898](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , Jonathan Chudler, San Francisco , California , for the ...

Overview: The BIA committed legal error in deporting an alien based on his ineligibility for a waiver of inadmissibility which, as the IJ noted in the very decision under review, was not required in the first place.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Apr 28, 2003

60.  [Narayan v. Ashcroft, 384 F.3d 1065](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued), San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: An IJ incorrectly found that the actions against the applicant for asylum did not constitute persecution. The finding of past persecution established a rebuttable presumption that the applicant had a well-founded fear of future persecution.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Sep 16, 2004

61.  [People v. Akhile, 167 Cal. App. 4th 558](#)

Sara E. Coppin and **Robert B. Jobe** for Defendant and Appellant.

Overview: Although there was evidence indicating that defendant was advised at the time of his arraignment of the immigration consequences of his guilty plea to grand theft by embezzlement, the record did not indicate that defendant was so advised at the plea hearing. Defendant therefore was not properly advised under § 1016.5.

**Jurisdiction**  
California

**Court**  
1st District Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Oct 09, 2008

62.  [Saini v. United States Citizenship & Immigration Servs., 553 F. Supp. 2d 1170](#)

... Plaintiff: Robert Bradford Jobe , LEAD ATTORNEY, Law Office of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , CA.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
California Eastern District Court

**Date**  
Mar 26, 2008

63.  [Singh v. Ins, 315 F.3d 1186](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: Petition for review of BIA's affirmance of a denial of asylum was untimely. BIA's decision was properly sent to alien who had moved and not given a forwarding address. Notice need not have gone to alien's counsel who never filed an appearance notice.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jan 10, 2003



64.  [Singh v. INS, 328 F.3d 1205](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioners. ...

Overview: In a petition for review of the denial of asylum and the withholding of deportation, the INS was directed to produce to the court unexpurgated copies of an Immigration Judge's classified decision and all classified materials presented to the judge.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
May 16, 2003

65.  [Vo Van Chau v. United States Dep't of State, 891 F. Supp. 650](#)

... Daniel Wolf , Hughes Hubbard & Reed , Washington, DC. **Robert B. Jobe** , Law Office of Robert Jobe, San Francisco , CA. ...

Overview: The court granted plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction because plaintiff would have succeeded in their case.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
DC District Court

**Date**  
Jun 28, 1995

66.  [Abebe v. Holder, 577 F.3d 1113](#)

... Petitioner: Robert Bradford Jobe , Esquire , LAW OFFICES OF **ROBERT B. JOBE**, San Francisco, CA.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 18, 2009

67.  [Cheema v. Holder, 693 F.3d 1045](#)

... Robert Bradford Jobe , Esq. , Law Offices of **Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for petitioner Baljinder Singh ...

Overview: Written advisals provided on a standard I-589 asylum application form that petitioner signed constituted sufficient notice under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1158(d)(4)(A) of both the consequences of his filing a frivolous application as well as the privilege of being represented by counsel. Thus, review of a decision finding him permanently ineligible was denied.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Sep 06, 2012

68.  [Delgado-Hernandez v. Holder, 615 F.3d 1105](#)

... Robert Bradford Jobe , Esquire , Attorney, LAW OFFICES OF **ROBERT B. JOBE**, San Francisco, CA.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 09, 2010

69.  [Gonzaga-Ortega v. Holder, 694 F.3d 1069](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for the petitioner. ...

Overview: 8 C.F.R. § 292.5(b) did not entitle alien to counsel during primary or secondary inspection because he was properly deemed an "applicant for admission" pursuant to 8 U.S.C.S. § 1101(a)(13)(C)(iii) because border officers were permitted to treat alien as an applicant for admission based on their conclusion that he had engaged in illegal activity.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Sep 14, 2012

70.  [Gonzaga-Ortega v. Holder, 736 F.3d 795](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** , San Francisco , California , for Petitioner.

Overview: A petition by an alien who was a lawful permanent residence was denied because he was not entitled to counsel during questioning at the border based on a determination by immigration officers that he had engaged in illegal activity, and, as to his due process claim, the record did not support his claims that he had been denied a fair hearing.

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Jun 07, 2013

71.  [Singh v. Clinton, 618 F.3d 1085](#)

**Robert B. Jobe** (argued), Sara E. Coppin and Sarah B. Castello , San ...

Overview: Because the government did not send notice "to the alien," termination of the alien's visa registration was contrary to law; notice to the I-130 petitioner and the attorney who prepared the I-130, was an insufficient foundation for termination of registration under 8 U.S.C.S. § 1153(g).

**Jurisdiction**  
U.S. Federal

**Court**  
9th Circuit Court of Appeals

**Date**  
Aug 20, 2010